STAPHYLOCOCCAL SUBCLINICAL MASTITIS IN DROMEDARY DAIRY CAMEL

A. Niasari-Naslaji¹, A.B. Atakpour¹, B. Khoramian², M. Emaneini³, S. Ghaffari¹, H. Parsakiya¹, A. Barin⁴, B.R. Samiei⁵, M. Amjadi⁶, V. Akbarinejad and A.A. Moosavi-Movahedi⁷

¹Department of Theriogenology, ⁴Department of Clinical Pathology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, ⁷Institute of Biochemistry and Biophysics, University of Tehran, Tehran, Iran ²Department of Clinical Sciences, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Mashhad, Iran ³Department of Microbiology, School of Medicine, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran ⁵Pegah Milk Company, Golestan, Iran ⁶International Dairy Federation, Tehran, Iran

ABSTRACT

Camel milk samples were randomly and aseptically collected after discarding first milk streak from 243 quarters of milking camels without clinical sign of mastitis or bacteriological investigation. There was no bacterial isolation in 61.73% samples. The bacteria isolated in remaining samples were *Staphylococci* (n=78; coagulase negative Staphylococci: 71 and *Staph. aureus:* 7) and *Streptococci* (n=26). Five new species of coagulase negative Staphylococci including *Staph. saprophyticus, Staph. delphini, Staph. capitis, Staph. chromogenes* and *Staph. caseolyticus* were identified. PFGE analysis carried out on 7 isolates of *Staph. aureus* and produced 2 distinct pulsotypes designated as pulsotypes A and B. All *Staph. aureus* isolates were found to be included into 2 spa types: t527 and t1532. Coagulase negative staphylococci were the main bacteria isolates (55.04%) and the frequency of *Staph. aureus* with 2 spa types was considered as 5.43% of total bacterial isolation.

Key words: Dairy dromedary camel, S. aureus, subclinical mastitis

Prevalence of clinical mastitis in camel was assumed to be low (Manefield and Tinson, 1996; Wernery and Kaaden, 2002). However, by looking at the microflora involved in teat canals and udder cisterns in non-lactating dromedary camels (Johnson *et al*, 2015a), it is expected that machine milking may predispose lactating camels to subclinical mastitis, similar to other milk-producing animals.

Various species of bacteria have been found as the main cause of mastitis in camels including *Staph. aureus, Strep. agalactiae,* Coagulase Negative Staphylococci (CNS), *Strep. bovis, Escherichia coli, Micrococcus* spp., *Corynebacterium* spp. and *Aerobacter* spp. (Barbour *et al,* 1985; Abdurahman, 1995; Obeid *et al,* 1996; Wernery *et al,* 2008; Johnson *et al,* 2015b). Some *Staph. aureus* isolates are drug resistant and are conserved as public health threat (Fitzgerlad, 2012; Ansari *et al,* 2014). As a result, livestock-originated *Staph. aureus* is of significance in terms of human health and are required to be further characterised (Fitzgerlad, 2012). Saleh and Faye (2011) found *S. aureus* and other species of staphylococci as main causative agent for subclinical mastitis in dromedaries. The objective of this study was to investigate the frequency and type of bacteria causing subclinical mastitis and to find the possible genotypes of principle isolate from milk samples of dromedary camels.

Materials and Methods

Experimental design

Camel milk samples were collected from 243 quarters of milking camels (7-11 years of age, 2-4 months after calving), without any observable disease and clinical signs of mastitis. Prior to sampling, the teat was washed and the camel calf was released to stimulate milk let down from the dam. Immediately after teat engorgement, the suckling was interrupted and teats were disinfected with cotton moistened with 70% alcohol. After discarding the first few squirts of milk, about 50 ml of milk were collected into sterile bottle and kept cool these were transported to the laboratory for bacteriological investigations.

Bacteriological investigation

Bacteriological isolation was conducted according to the standard procedure (MacFaddin,

SEND REPRINT REQUEST TO A. NIASARI-NASLAJI email: niasari@ut.ac.ir

2000). In brief, milk sample (30-50 µL) was streaked on 5% sheep blood agar (Merck, Germany) and MacConkey agar (Merck, Germany). Plates were incubated at 37°C for 5 days. Presumptive identification of bacteria on primary culture was conducted according to the morphology of colony, haemolytic characteristics, Gram stain and catalase test. *Staphylococci* were identified based on coagulase test. CNS were identified using classic chemical procedure (MacFaddin, 2000). *Streptococci* isolates were evaluated based on CAMP reaction, aesculin hydrolysis test and growth on 6.5% sodium chloride (MacFaddin, 2000). Gram-negative isolates were further tested using triple sugar iron (TSI), urease, indole, MRVP, citrate and lysine decarboxylase tests.

Isolation of Staphylococcvs aureus

The species of isolated *S. aureus* was identified using standard biochemical methods including gram staining, catalase, DNase, fermentation of mannitol and production of coagulase. To confirm the identity of the species, the nuc gene was amplified by a PCRbased method, using the specific primers (nucA-F 5'-CTGGCATATGTATGGCAATTGTT-3' and nucA-R 5'-ATTGACCTGAATCAGCGTTGTCT-3').

PFGE analysis

The entire genomic DNA was prepared as described previously (Fatholahzadeh *et al*, 2009). After digestion with *Smal* endonuclease, the DNAs were separated by CHEF electrophoresis system (AP-Zoha Ltd, Tehran, Iran) for 20 h at 14°C with an electric field of 6 V/cm in 0.5× TBE buffer. The pulse time increased from 1 to 30 s (10 h) and 1 to 3 s (10 h). The gels were stained with ethidium bromide (1µg/ml) and visualised by UV illumination. A reference strain of *S. aureus* (NCTC8325) was prepared in the same way and run as the molecular size standard. The assessment and interpretation of PFGE patterns were performed visually according to the defined criteria (Tenover *et al*, 1995).

SPA typing

The spa typing was performed according to the method described previously (Emaneini *et al*, 2011) followed by amplification and sequencing of the spa gene X region. PCR reactions were performed in a 50 μ L volume consisting of 1X PCR buffer, 3 mM MgCl₂, and 0.4 μ g/ml of each primer, 1.5 U Taq DNA polymerase, 0.2 mM dNTP Mix and 5 μ l of DNA template. The PCR conditions consisted of a predenaturation step at 94 °C for 5 min, followed by 35 cycles at 94 °C for 40 s, 55 °C for 40 s and 72 °C for 60

s. A final extension step was performed at 72 °C for 10 min. Sequences of both strands of the amplicons were determined at Macrogen (Seoul, South Korea). Isolates were assigned to particular spa types according to the guidelines described by the spa typing website (http://www.spaserver.ridom.de).

Results and Discussion

In 150 quarter milk samples (61.7%), no bacteria were isolated. Accordingly, quarter infection rate was 38.3% (93/243). Out of 129 bacteria isolated from 93 quarter milk samples, *Staphyloccoci* was isolated from 78 cases (60.46%), out of which 7 cases (8.97%) were *S. aureus* and the rest (71; 91.03%) were CNS (Table 1). CNS bacteria had a varied prevalence, i.e. *S. saprophyticus* (47.44%), *S. schleiferi* (16.67%) and *S. epidermidis* (14.10%). *Streptoccoci* were isolated from 26 cases (20.16%), out of which *Strep. uberis* (7.75%) and *Strep. mutans* (5.43%) had greater incidence (Table 1). The rest of bacteriological isolation was dedicated to coliforms (7.75%), *Pseudomonas* (5.43%),

 Table 1. Mixed and pure bacteria isolation from quarter milk samples of dromedary camel.

samples of dromedary canter.						
Bacteria	Total	No. in Genus	Pure isolation	Mixed isolation	% in Genus	% in Total
Isolated bacteria	129					
Staphylococci	78					60.46
Staph. aureus		7			8.97	5.43
Coagulase Negative Staphylococci		71			91.03	55.04
Staph. saprophyticus		37	19	18	47.44	28.68
Staph. schleiferi		13	9	4	16.67	10.08
Staph. epidermidis		11	4	7	14.10	08.53
Staph. delphini		4	2	2	5.13	03.10
Staph. capitis		3	1	2	3.85	02.32
Staph. chromogenes		2	1	1	2.56	01.55
Staph. caseolyticus		1	1	0	1.28	0.77
Streptococci	26					20.16
Strep.uberis		10	2	8	38.46	07.75
Strep. mutans		7	1	6	26.92	5.43
Strep. agalactiae		5	2	3	19.23	3.87
Strep. alactolyticus		3	2	1	11.54	2.32
Strep. dysgalactiae		1	1	0	3.85	0.77
Coliform	10		1	9		7.75
Pseudomonas	7		1	6		5.43
Corynebacterium	6		3	3		4.65
Proteus	2		2	0		1.55

Corynebacterium (4.65%) and *Proteus* (1.55%; Table 1). There were no *E. coli* or pathogenic corynebateria isolated from the milk samples.

PFGE analysis of the 7 *S. aureus* isolates produced 2 distinct pulsotypes designated as pulsotypes A and B. All *S. aureus* isolates were found to be included into 2 spa types: t527 and t1532 (Table 2).

In the present study, no bacteria were isolated from 61.7% of milk samples (150/243), similar to the result reported from Israel (60.6%; 83/137; Chaffer et al, 2000) and UAE (65.87%; 195/297; Johnson et al, 2015b). Similar results were reported by in non-lactating dromedary camel after collecting swabs from teat canal (76%; 184/242) and cistern (79%; 189/242). This condition occurs in dairy cows with the prevalence of 30% (Philpot and Stephen, 2000). The low number of bacteria isolated from camel milk might be unique for this species. It could be explained by numerous antimicrobial agents in camel milk such as lysozyme, lactoferrin, lactoperoxydase and immunoglobulins that could limit microbial growth to higher degree than in milk from other domestic animals (Korhonen and Pihlanto, 2006; El-Hatmi et al, 2007; Salami et al, 2010).

Table 2. PFGE analysis and spa typing of 7 S. aureus isolates.

Isolation	PFGE	SPA Typing	
17	А	t527	
28	А	t527	
39	А	t527	
41	А	t527	
167	В	t1532	
174	В	t1532	
220	В	t1532	

Based on isolation of bacteria, quarter infection rate was 38.3% (93/243) in the present study. Several studies with great variation were reported to illustrate the prevalence of subclinical mastitis in dromedary camel, i.e. 36.87% (59/160 camels; Suheir et al, 2005), 15% (9/60 quarters; Alamin et al, 2013) in Sudan, 15.8% (80/505 quarters; Abera *et al*, 2010) and 20.7% (30/145) camels; Abera et al, 2010), 22% (43/195 camels, Almaw and Molla, 2000), 67.4% (433/642 quarters; Seifu and Tafesse, 2010), 39.4 % (137/348 camels, Regassa et al, 2013) in Ethiopia, 11.67% (21 / 180 camels; Ibrahim et al, 2011) in Saudi Arabia, 38% (57/150 camels; Sibtain et al, 2012) in Pakistan and 41% (41/100 quarters) and 72% (18/25 camels) in India (Bhatt et al, 2004). Accordingly, it may be concluded that the prevalence of subclinical mastitis in camel could be within the range of 11-72% on specieswise and 15-67% on quarter basis, providing an indication that subclinical mastitis may be considered as existing problem in dairy dromedary camel.

The majority of isolates in the present study were of Staphylococci spp (60.46%) and Streptococci spp (20.16%). It was in consonance with previous investigations in Kenya (Wanjohi et al, 2013), Ethiopia (Woubit et al, 2001; Abera et al, 2010), Sudan (Alamin et al, 2013), Pakistan (Sibtain et al, 2012), India (Bhatt et al, 2004) and Israel (Chaffer et al, 2000). Among Staphylococci in the present study, CNS were isolated in the majority of cases (55.04%). CNS prevalence in camel was 46% in Iraq (Almaw and Molla, 2000), 20.4% in Israel (Chaffer et al, 2000) and 7.5% in Ethiopia (Abera et al, 2010). In the present study new species of CNS bacteria were identified including S. saprophyticus, S. schleiferi, S. delphini, S. capitis, S. chromogenes and S. caseolyticus. It was only S. epidermis that has been previously reported (Obeid et al, 1996; Suheir et al, 2005). Staphylococci are common inhabitants of the skin and the mucosal surfaces of humans and various animals. CNS constitutes part of the physiological flora (Werckenthin et al, 2001; Adegoke and Okoh, 2014). Most *staphylococcal* species are considered as facultative pathogen. The pathogenic capacity of CNS mainly depends on whether they possess virulence genes (Oogai et al, 2011). On the host side, the intact skin or mucosal surface represents the first mechanical barrier against these infectious agents. In addition, a functionally active host immune system as well as the tissue-specific commensal flora play important role as biological barriers against pathogenic bacteria, including staphylococci (Singh and Morris, 2012). Open injuries, burns, scratch and bite wounds and primary viral or parasitic infections, which could destroy this mechanical barrier, enable Staphylococci as inhabitants of the skin or the mucosa to reach deeper tissues and cause an either localised or generalised infection (Werckenthin et al, 2001). The latter happens mainly when the *staphylococci* disseminate via blood and can evade or suppress the host's immune system. Certain virulence factors of Staph. aureus, in particular the Panton-Valentine leukocidin, target and destroy components of the host immune system (Holzinger et al, 2012). Intramammary infections caused by CNS are common in dairy cows as well (Thorberg *et al*, 2009). In comparison with S. aureus, CNS has lower pathogenicity, but they could have an important role in subclinical mastitis and elevation of SCC in ruminants (Contreras et al, 2007; Thorberg et al, 2009). The prevalence of S. aureus was relatively low (5.43%) in the present study, similar to the report from Ethiopia (2.9 %, 39/1362; Regassa et

al, 2013), Israel (8.8%; Chaffer *et al*, 2000) and Sudan (5.4%; Abdurahman *et al*, 1995). In other studies, *S. aureus* was considered as the main cause of subclinical mastitis in camel in Saudi Arabia (27.91%; Ibrahim *et al*, 2011), Ethiopia (26.3%; Abera *et al*, 2010), and Sudan (20.2%; Suheir *et al*, 2005).

In this study the molecular characteristics of S. aureus was elucidated. There is few data about the genetic analysis of S. aureus isolated from camel milk (Shuiep et al, 2009; Monecke et al, 2011; Jaradat et al, 2014). Further studies from various geographical regions are needed to investigate the molecular characteristics of *S. aureus* by various typing methods and to determine the genetic nature of this bacterium. A variety of genotyping techniques are available for classifying S. aureus strains for epidemiological investigation, including band-based (e.g., PFGE) and sequence-based methods (e.g., MLST, spa typing). PFGE is the gold standard for typing of S. aureus strains and is known to be a highly discriminatory and valuable technique for outbreak investigation (Tenover et al, 1995; Eslampour et al, 2009). Nevertheless, spa typing contains significant advantages over PFGE such as ease of use, reproducibility, transportability and comparability of the results (Emaneini et al, 2011). To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study reporting the molecular characteristics of S. aureus isolated from camel milk by PFGE and Spa A typing methods. Results of the present study showed that all S. aureus isolates were of 2 genotypes. The presence of 2 genotypes of S. aureus might be the result of its increased resistance to the host immune response. Spa type t527 collected from different locations in Croatia, and in MRSA isolates of human and bovine samples in Iran. Spa type t1532 was found in 5 isolates from different locations in France and Portugal (http:// www.spaserver.ridom.de). Concordance between PFGE results and spa typing technique in our study was 100%. Koreen et al (2004) showed that crossclassification concordance results between PFGE and spa typing was 98%.

The isolated *Streptococci* spp. in the present study were *Strep. uberis, Strep. mutans, Strep. alactolyticus, Strep. agalactiae* and *Strep. dysgalactiae*. Mastitis caused by *Strep. agalactiae* is prevalent in camel and has been reported in UAE (Quandil, 1984), Egypt (Karamy, 1990), India (Younan *et al*, 2001), Ethiopia (Almaw and Molla, 2000), Kenya (Younan *et al*, 2001) and Sudan (Abdurahman *et al*, 1995; Obied *et al*, 1996). Subclinical mastitis caused by *Strep. agalactiae* consisted of up to 74.4% and 50% of all cases of subclinical mastitis in India and Kenya, respectively (Younan *et al*, 2001). In addition, clinical mastitis due to *Strep. agalactiae* has been reported in Ethiopia (Almaw and Molla, 2000).

Taken together, the prevalence of subclinical mastitis was relatively low in the present study. It is well known that susceptibility to mastitis is determined by a combination of factors including bacterial virulence, environmental conditions (housing, management, feeding and milking technique) and animal-related factors (milk yield, genetics). These factors are interdependent to each other and their impact depends on the type of pathogen (Burvenich et al, 2003). The streak canal is relatively thin in camel which could play a role in low prevalence of mastitis in this species (Manefield and Tinson, 1996). Moreover, the cover used to prevent the calf from suckling has been suggested as a reason for low rate of mastitis in camel (Manefield and Tinson, 1996; Wernery and Kaaden, 2002). It is believed that the cover protect the animal from mechanical traumas. Yet it should be considered that the cover could be moistened with milk and become contaminated with bedding, and consequently predispose the animal to intra-mammary infections. Nevertheless, no research has been conducted in this regard, any hypothesis requires to be tested by a well-designed controlled study. In addition, machine milking is uncommon in camel, which might have contributed as additional reason for low prevalence of mastitis in this species. The other suggested factors for low prevalence of mastitis in camel are the type of resting, few contact of mammary glands with the bedding, low density of animals in the pasture and the dryness of bedding. Finally, one of the main potential factors in this context is the antimicrobial components of camel milk (Korhonen and Pihlanto, 2006; El-Hatmi et al, 2007; Salami et al, 2010). Further studies are warranted to investigate the underlying mechanisms for low prevalence of mastitis in camel.

In conclusion, *Staphylococci*, particularly coagulase negative staphylococci were the most frequent bacteria isolated from camel milk. Five new species of CNS were identified and *S. aureus* was characterised into 2 genotypes in camel milk samples.

Acknowledgements

Authors would like to express their great appreciation to all organisations, scientists, the authorities in Golestan province and dairy camel producers who assist us to perform this study in the best possible way. We particularly acknowledge UNESCO Chair on Interdisciplinary Research in Diabetes, University Tehran, Tehran, Iran, for their valuable support.

References

- Abdurahman OA, Agab H, Abbas B and Aström G (1995). Relations between udder infection and somatic cells in camel (*Camelus dromedarius*) milk. Acta Veterinaria Scandinavica 36:423-431.
- Abera M, Abdi O, Abunna F and Megersa B (2010). Udder health problems and major bacterial causes of camel mastitis in Jijiga, eastern Ethiopia: implication for impacting food security. Tropical Animal Health and Production 42:341-347.
- Adegoke AA and Okoh AI (2014). Species diversity and antibiotic resistance properties of *Staphylococcus* of farm animal origin in Nkonkobe Municipality, South Africa. Folia Microbiology 59:133-140.
- Alamin MA, Alqurashi AM, Elsheikh AS and Yasin TE (2013). Mastitis incidence and bacterial causative agents isolated from lactating she-camel (*Camelus dromedarius*). Journal of Agriculture Veterinary Science 2:7-10.
- Al haj OA and Al Kanhal HA (2010). Compositional, technological and nutritional aspects of dromedary camel milk. International Dairy Journal 20:811-821.
- Agrawal RP, Beniwal R, Kochar DK, Tuteja FC, Ghorui SK, Sahani MS and Sharma S (2005). Camel milk as an adjunct to insulin therapy improves long-term glycaemic control and reduction in doses of insulin in patients with type-1 diabetes A 1 year randomised controlled trial. Diabetes Research and Clinical Practice 68:176-177.
- Almaw G and Molla B (2000). Prevalence and etiology of mastitis in camels (*Camelus dromedarius*) in Iraq. Journal of Camel Practice and Research 7:97-100.
- Ansari S, Nepal HP, Gautam R, Rayamajhi N, Shrestha S, Upadhyay G, Acharya A and Chapagain ML (2014). Threat of drug resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* to health in Nepal. BMC Infectious Diseases 14:157.
- Barbour EK, Nabbut NH, Frerichs WM, Al-Nakhli HM and Al-Mukayel AA (1985). Mastitis in *Camelus dromedarius* in Saudi Arabia. Tropical Animal Health and Production 17:173-179.
- Bhatt L, Chahar A, Tuteja FC and Verma D (2004). Prevalence, etiology and antibiogram of subclinical mastitis isolates from camel. Veterinary Practitioner 5:61-65.
- Burvenich C, Van Merris V, Mehrzad J, Diez-Fraile A and Duchateau L (2003). Severity of *E. coli* mastitis is mainly determined by cow factors. Veterinary Research 34:521-564.
- Chaffer M, Leitner GA, Glickman C, Van Creveld M, Winkler A, Saran A and Yagil R (2000). Determination of udder health in camels (*Camelus dromedarius*). Journal of Camel Practice and Research 7:171-174.
- Contreras A, Sierra D, Sanchez A, Corrales JC, Marco JC, Paape MJ and Gonzalo C (2007). Mastitis in small ruminants. Small Ruminant Research 68:145-153.
- EL-Agamy ES I, Nawar M, Shamsia SM, Awad S and Haenlein GFW (2009). Are camel milk proteins convenient to the

nutrition of cow milk allergic children? Small Ruminant Research 82:1-6.

- El-Agamy ESI, Ruppanner R, Ismail A, Champagne CP and Assaf R (1992). Antibacterial and antiviral activity of camel milk protective proteins. Journal of Dairy Research 59:169-175.
- EI-Hatmi H, Girardet JM, Gaillard JL, Yahyaoui MH and Attia H (2007). Characterisation of whey protein of camel (*Camelus dromedarius*) milk and colostrums. Small Ruminant Research 70:267-271.
- Emaneini M, Khoramrooz SS, Taherikalani M, Jabalameli F and Marzieh A (2011). Molecular characterisation of *Staphylococcus aureus* isolated from children with adenoid hypertrophy: Emergence of new spa types t7685 and t7692. International Journal of Pediatric Otorhinolaryngology 75:1446-1449.
- Eslampour MA, Hovareshti P, Feyzabadi MM, Ali Gholi M, Bolourchi M, Jabal Ameli F, Barin A, Niasari-Naslaji A, Taheri Kalani M and Imaneyni M (2009). Molecular characterisation of *Staphylococcus aureus* isolated from bovine mastitis in Iran. Veterinary Microbiology 139:207-208.

FAOSTAT (2014). FAO statistics Division.

- Farah Z (1993). Composition and characteristics of camel milk. Journal of Dairy Research 60:603-626.
- Farah Z, Rettenmaier R and Atkins D (1992). Vitamin content of camel milk. International Journal of Vitamin and Nutrition Research 62:30-33.
- Fatholahzadeh B, Emaneini M, Aligholi M, Gilbert G, Taherikalani M, Jonaidi N, Eslampour MA and Feizabadi MM (2009). Molecular characterisation of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* clones from a teaching hospital in Tehran. Japanese Journal of Infectious Diseases 62:309-311.
- Fitzgerald JR (2012). Livestock-associated *Staphylococcus aureus*: origin, evolution and public health threat. Trends in Microbiology 20:192-198.
- Heine WE, Klein PD and Reeds PJ (1991). The importance of α-lactalbumin in infant nutrition. The Journal of Nutrition 121:277-283.
- Holzinger D, Gieldon L, Mysore V, Nippe N, Taxman DJ, Duncan JA, Broglie PM, Marketon K, Austermann J, Vogl T, Foell D, Niemann S, Peters G, Roth J and Löffler B (2012). *Staphylococcus aureus* Panton-Valentine leukocidin induces an inflammatory response in human phagocytes *via* the NLRP3 inflammasome. Journal of Leukocyte Biology 92:1069-1081.
- Ibrahim AM, AL-Humiany AA, Mansour AMA and Zaki HM (2011). Epidemiological and microbiological studies on mastitis in she-camel. International Journal of Microbiology Research 2:18-27.
- Jaradat ZW, Tarazi YH and Ababneh QO (2014). Molecular characterisation of *Staphylococcus aureus* isolated from meat and their antibiotic resistance profiles. Pakistan Veterinary Journal 34:58-62.
- Johnson B, Joseph M, Jose S, Jose S, Kinne J and Wernery U (2015a). The microflora of teat canals and udder cisterns in non-lactating dromedaries. Journal of Camel Practice and Research 22:55-59.

- Johnson B, Jose S, Rasoul I and Wernery U (2015b). Camel associated-mastitis pathogens isolated from a closed dromedary herd. Journal of Camel Practice and Research 22:187-188.
- Karamy SA (1990). Bacteriological studies on mastitis in small ruminants and she-camels in upper Egypt. Journal of the Egyptian Veterinary Medical Association. 50:67-79.
- Koreen L, Ramaswamy SV, Graviss EA, Naidich S, Musser JM and Kreiswirth BN (2004). Spa typing method for discriminating among *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates: implications for use of a single marker to detect genetic micro- and macrovariation. Journal of Clinical Microbiology 42:792-799.
- Korhonen H and Pihlanto A (2006). Bioactive peptides: production and functionality. International Dairy Journal 16:945-960.
- MacFaddin JF (2000). Biochemical Test for Identification of Medical Bacteria. 3rd ed. Lippincott Williams and Wilkins, Philadelphia, PA.
- Manefield GW and Tinson AH (1996). Camels: A Compendium. University of Sydney Post Graduate Foundationin Veterinary Science Sydney. pp 152-153.
- Monecke S, Ehricht R, Slickers P, Wernery R, Johnson B, Jose S and Wernery U (2011). Microarray-based genotyping of *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates from camels. Veterinary Microbiology 150:309-314.
- Obeid AIM, Bagadi HO and Mukhtar MM (1996). Mastitis in *Camelus dromedarius* and the somatic cell count of camel milk. Research in Veterinary Science 61:55-58.
- Oogai Y, Matsuo M, Hashimoto M, Kato F, Sugai M and Komatsuzawa H (2011). Expression of virulence factors by *Staphylococcus aureus* grown in serum. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 77:8097-8105.
- Philpot WN and Stephen CN (2000). Winning The Fight Against Mastitis. 1st Ed. Westfalia Surge Inc., Bönen.
- Quandil SS (1984). Bacteriological study of some cases of mastitis in the dromedary (*Camelus dromedarius*) in the United Arab Emirates, preliminary report. Revue de Medecine Veterinaire 135:705-707.
- Regassa A, Golicha G, Tesfaye D, Abunna F and Megersa B (2013). Prevalence, risk factors, and major bacterial causes of camel mastitis in Borana Zone, Oromia Regional State, Ethiopia. Tropical Animal Health and Production 45:1589-1595.
- Salami M, Moosavi-Movahedi AA, Ehsani MR, Yousefi R, Haertlé T, Chobert JM, Razavi SH, Henrich R, Balalaie S, Ebadi SA, Pourtakdoost S and Niasari-Naslaji A (2010). Improvement of the antimicrobial and antioxidant activities of camel and bovine whey proteins by limited proteolysis. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry 58:3297-3302.
- Saleh SK and Faye B (2010). Detection of sub-clinical mastitis in dromedary camels (*Camelus dromedaries*) using somatic cell counts, california mastitis test and udder pathogen. Emirates Journal of Food Agriculture 23(1):48-58.

- Seifu E and Tafesse B (2010). Prevalence and etiology of mastitis in traditionally managed camels (*Camelus dromedarius*) in selected pastoral areas in eastern Ethiopia. Ethiopia Veterinary Journal 14:103-113.
- Shuiep ES, Kanbar T, Eissa N, Alber J, Lämmler C, Zschöck M, Zubeir IE and Weiss R (2009). Phenotypic and genotypic characterisation of *Staphylococcus aureus* isolated from raw camel milk samples. Research in Veterinary Science 86:211-215.
- Sibtain A, Muhammad Y, Muhammad QB, Ghulam M, Li-Guo Y and Muhammad KK (2012). Risk factors associated with prevalence and major bacterial causes of mastitis in dromedary camels (*Camelus dromedarius*) under different production systems. Tropical Animal Health and Production 44:107-112.
- Singh A and Morris RJ (2012). Innate immunity and the regulation and mobilisation of keratinocyte stem cells: are the old players playing a new game? Experimental Dermatology 21:660-664.
- Suheir I, Abdallasalim MO and Yasi TE (2005). Bacteria, mycoplasma and fungi associated with sub-clinical mastitis in camel. Sudan Journal of Veterinary Research 20:23-31.
- Tenover FC, Arbeit RD, Goering RV, Mickelsen PA, Murray BE, Persing DH and Swaminathan B (1995). Interpreting chromosomal DNA restriction patterns produced by pulsed-field gel electrophoresis: Criteria for bacterial strain typing. Journal of Clinical Microbiology 33:2233-2239.
- Thorberg BM, Danielsson-Tham ML, Emanuelson U and Persson Waller K (2009). Bovine subclinical mastitis caused by different types of coagulase-negative staphylococci. Journal of Dairy Science 92:4962-4970.
- Wanjohi M, Gitao CG and Bebora LC (2013). Sub clinical mastitis affecting hygienic quality of marketed camel milk from North eastern Province, Kenya. Microbiology Research International 1:6-15.
- Werckenthin C, Cardoso M, Martel JL and Schwarz S (2001). Antimicrobial resistance in staphylococci from animals with particular reference to bovine *Staphylococcus aureus*, porcine *Staphylococcus hyicus* and canine *Staphylococcus intermedius*. Veterinary Research 32:341-362.
- Wernery U, Johnson B and Jose S (2008). The most important dromedary mastitis organisms. Journal of Camel Practice and Research 15:159-161.
- Wernery U and Kaaden OR (2002). Infectious Diseases in Camelids. Blackwell Science, Berlin.
- Woubit S, Bayleyegen M, Bonnet P and Jean-Baptiste S (2001). Camel (*Camelus dromedarius*) mastitis in Borena lowland pastoral area, Southwestern Ethiopia. Revue d'élevage et de médecine vétérinaire des pays tropicaux 54:207-212.
- Younan M, Ali Z, Bornstein S and Mueller W (2001). Application of the California mastitis test in intramammary *Streptococcus agalactiae* and *Staphylococcus aureus* infections of camels (*Camelus dromedarius*) in Kenya. Preventive Veterinary Medicine 51:307-316.